

It is very notable that comm processes work best on obvious and visible terminals and work much less well on things that are not present and worst on things that are merely ideas or significancies. You can make great headway with a PC with 'From where could you communicate to a body' when with the same PC you might get very, very slow results with 'From where could you communicate to a brother'. Therefore the easiest to run and make progress with a comm process is using an obvious terminal and this of course would be one of the four universes, thetan, mind, body and physical universe.

However, when one runs a very obvious terminal with a comm process, one must carefully avoid pinning the process in present time. One cannot successfully run a comm process with 'From where could you communicate to this room'. This is too specific. The PC is balked by the fact that the comm process strongly calls up every room like 'this room' and if he answers anything about these other rooms he is not doing the exact auditing command and so goes rapidly out of session. Specific terminals that permit no large breadth of time span won't run on a comm process because the process escapes the time limit imposed all too easily. One would have to run 'From where could you communicate to a room' in order to wipe out the bad effects of 'this room' on the case.

Universe comm processes are evidently the best version of all comm processes.

The assessment of the proper terminal can be a little tricky. The semantics of the terminal get in an auditor's way. And yet the auditor may be led astray into using a version of the terminal that is not really an obvious terminal. Example: The PC does not understand what a thetan is and the meter does react to it so the auditor sorts out 'soul' and 'spirit' etc., but gets a large drop on 'astral body' and decides to run it only to discover that he is running an engram of recent origin in which the words appear. 'Spirit' dropped less but would have run because it was more general.

You are probably wondering how we can get away with running 'conceive a static', forbidden in the book 'The Creation of Human Ability'. We can just barely get away with it because of the nature and power of the comm process. By damping out excessive individuation the comm process increases havingness. A total individual can't have much of anything - you can't even have a car really unless you can be, besides self, a 'car-driver' or a 'car passenger'. A totally individuated person cannot be anybody but himself, cares for nobody but himself and can share in no activity of any other person. Hence as we flatten out this obsessive individuation we gain in the PC usually enough havingness to run a massless identity such as a thetan. However this terminal usually runs less well than the other three employed in Universe Processes.

There are other developments which will be discussed in later bulletins, such as 'Think of a creation you could make unknown' but these in general are not as important to us as the above.

If you are having trouble keeping your people on a Co-audit it's because the things you are running on them are not real to them. I think you will find that by using a Universe Assessment on a Co-audit as above, you will have much more constant attendance. Try it anyway.

L. RON HUBBARD

LRH:ph.mek
Copyright (c) 1959
by L. Ron Hubbard
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED